



AGENDA

Zoning Board of Appeals – Regular Meeting
Tuesday, January 28, 2025 – 7:00 p.m.

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of the October 22, 2024 ZBA Meeting Minutes
- IV. Public Comments & Questions (*Agenda Items Only*)
- V. New Business
 - A. ZBA Variance Application No. 25-01 – MacKite Dimensional Variance
 - B. Housekeeping and 2025 Meeting Dates
- VI. Reports
- VII. Extended Public Comments & Questions (*Non-Agenda Items*)
- VIII. Adjournment

MEETING MINUTES
GRAND HAVEN CHARTER TOWNSHIP
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
OCTOBER 22, 2024

I. CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting of the Grand Haven Charter Township Zoning Board of Appeals was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chair Voss.

II. ROLL CALL

Board of Appeals members present: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, Voss, and alt. Egedy-Bader

Board of Appeals members absent: None

Also present: Senior Planner Chaphalkar and Attorney Redick

Without objection, Chaphalkar was instructed to record the minutes for the meeting.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Without objection, the minutes of the July 23, 2024, ZBA Meeting were approved.

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT – NONE

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. ZBA Variance Application No. 24-04 – Lakeshore Property Services – Dimensional Variance

Party Requesting Variance:	Eric Rosendall – Rosendall Land Holdings
Address:	14324 172 nd Avenue, Grand Haven MI
Parcel Number:	70-07-04-200-009
Lot Size:	9.74 Acres
Zoning:	I-1 - Industrial Zoning District

The applicant is seeking three Dimensional Variances, described below:

1. A Dimensional Variance pursuant to Section 4.02.A.2 to provide 63 trees on site as general landscaping when 257 trees are required for the property located at 14324 172nd Avenue.
2. A Dimensional Variance pursuant to Section 4.02.A.4.a to construct a parking lot without a 10 feet wide landscaped area, approximately 301 linear feet, for the property located at 14324 172nd Avenue, when any parking lot are required to have a 10 feet wide landscaped area adjacent to all sides of the parking lot.
3. A Dimensional Variance pursuant to Section 12.34.A.1 to construct an outdoor storage yard, where 727 linear feet of the southeast portion would not be

screened for the property located at 14324 172nd Avenue, when the entire storage yard is to be completely enclosed by a required screening fence and screening trees planted three feet on-center (3' O.C).

Chaphalkar provided an overview through a memorandum dated October 17th.

Attorney Redick provided additional information:

- Explained historical precedent of Special Land Uses, which require higher standards for compliance and may not be appropriate for every parcel.
- Noted the general landscaping requirement is proportionate to the size of the pervious area, a property with larger amounts of pervious surface will require more landscaping in number, but would be proportionate to the characteristics of the unique property.
- Explained a lack of precedent for larger properties being considered a detriment for compliance with general standards.

The applicant, Eric Rosendall, was present to provide additional information:

- Acknowledged staff correspondence regarding Special Land Use standards prior to entering into a land contract to purchase the property.
- Opined large size of parcel is a unique burden for compliance with the general landscaping requirements.
- Stated a tree survey was completed to identify landmark trees prior to removing vegetation on site, acknowledged clearing occurred without staff review.
- Explained opposition to proving pervious surface on site due to machinery and stored materials.
- Described proposed asphalt milled surface for the storage yard, which would be treated to be maintained dust-free.

The applicant's attorney, Bob Sullivan of Scholten Fant, was present to provide additional information:

- Opined that compliance with the general landscaping requirements would leave little of the parcel available for use.
- Explained location of Consumers' powerline easement on the site and impact on compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.
- Described the location of the proposed parking lot as not visible to the general public.
- Opined the Zoning Ordinance standards did not make sense for the site.

The applicant's engineer, Andy Brooks – Callen Engineering, was present to provide additional information:

- Explained the width of the Consumers' easement had been reduced to 40', and the agreement prohibits any landscaping within the easement.
- Discussed revisions to the site plan from previous submittals.

The Board discussed the application and noted the following:

- Inquired about the applicant's previous communication with staff regarding the proposed Special Land Use which occurred prior to entering into a land contract to purchase the property.
- Discussed the visibility of the proposed parking lot landscaping from publicly accessible areas.
- Inquired about the likelihood of materials spilling into neighboring county drain.
- Reviewed the proposed site plan submittal.

Variance Request #1 – Proposed 63 trees where 257 trees are required for general landscaping.

Standard No. 1 – Exceptional or extraordinary circumstances:

- The subject property is encumbered by a Consumers powerline easement and a county drain easement.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: Hesselsweet and Slater
 Nays: Behm, Loftis, and Voss
 Absent: None

Standard No. 2 – Substantial property right:

- All properties must comply with the general landscaping requirements.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: Slater
 Nays: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, and Voss
 Absent: None

Standard No. 3 – Will not be a substantial detriment to adjacent parcels, or material impact on the intent and purpose of the Ordinance:

- No correspondence was received for this application.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: None
 Nays: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
 Absent: None

Standard No. 4 – Request is not of such a recurrent nature as to make reasonably practical the formulation of a general regulation:

- Waiving the general landscaping requirements may set a precedent requiring a zoning ordinance text amendment.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points

Ayes: None
Nays: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Absent: None

Motion by Behm, supported by Loftis to **deny** the dimensional variance from Sections 2.08 and 15.02.A to construct a building addition to connect the existing home to the existing detached accessory building (garage) and to construct a new roof structure on the existing garage for the property at 15879 Lake Avenue because an alternative exists that does not violate the Grand Haven Charter Township Zoning Ordinance. Denial of this variance is based upon this Board's findings that all four standards have not been affirmatively met.

Which motion carried unanimously, as indicated by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Slater, Behm, Voss, Hesselsweet, Loftis
Nays: None
Absent: None

Variance Request #2 – Waive 301 linear feet of 10 feet wide landscaped area adjacent to the eastern parking lot

Standard No. 1 – Exceptional or extraordinary circumstances:

- The proposed parking lot would not be visible in publicly accessible areas.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Nays: None
Absent: None

Standard No. 2 – Substantial property right:

- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Nays: None
Absent: None

Standard No. 3 – Will not be a substantial detriment to adjacent parcels, or material impact on the intent and purpose of the Ordinance:

- No correspondence was received for this application.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Nays: None
Absent: None

Standard No. 4 – Request is not of such a recurrent nature as to make reasonably practical the formulation of a general regulation:

- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Nays: None
Absent: None

Motion by Slater, seconded by Behm, to **approve** a dimensional variance from Section 4.02.A.4.a to construct a parking lot without a 10 feet wide landscaped area, approximately 301 linear feet, for the property located at 14324 172nd Avenue.

Which motion carried unanimously, as indicated by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Nays: None
Absent: None

Variance Request #3 – Waive the Special Land Use screening requirement for 727 linear feet along the southeast boundary of the proposed outdoor storage yard.

Standard No. 1 – Exceptional or extraordinary circumstances:

- The subject property is encumbered by a 40' wide Consumers powerline easement and a county drain easement.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: None
Nays: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Absent: None

Standard No. 2 – Substantial property right:

- The screening is required for the proposed Special Land Use.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: None
Nays: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Absent: None

Standard No. 3 – Will not be a substantial detriment to adjacent parcels, or material impact on the intent and purpose of the Ordinance:

- No correspondence was received for this application.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: None
Nays: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss
Absent: None

Standard No. 4 – Request is not of such a recurrent nature as to make reasonably practical the formulation of a general regulation:

- Waiving the screening required for the Special Land Use may set a precedent requiring a zoning ordinance text amendment.
- The ZBA had no additional discussion points.

Ayes: None

Nays: Behm, Hesselsweet, Loftis, Slater, and Voss

Absent: None

Motion by Slater, seconded by Hesselsweet, to **deny** a dimensional variance from Section 12.34.A.1 to construct an outdoor storage yard, which 727 linear feet of the southeast portion would not be screened because an alternative exists that does not violate the Grand Haven Charter Township Zoning Ordinance.

Which motion carried unanimously, as indicated by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Slater, Behm, Voss, Hesselsweet, Loftis

Nays: None

Absent: None

- VI. REPORTS – Chaphalkar noted staff were not aware of any upcoming ZBA application submittals.
- VII. EXTENDED PUBLIC COMMENTS – None
- VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Without objection, the meeting was adjourned at 8:26 pm.

Respectfully submitted,



Cassandra Chaphalkar

Acting Recording Secretary



Community Development Memo

DATE: January 23, 2025
 TO: Zoning Board of Appeals
 FROM: Cassandra Chaphalkar, Senior Planner
 RE: 16881 Hayes Street – Dimensional Variance Application No. 25-01

Owner	Wind Riders LLC
Applicant	Sig Rudholm
Property Address	16881 Hayes Street
Parcel Number	70-03-33-400-024
Lot Size	2.55 acres
Lot Type	Typical Lot
Zoning	I-1, Industrial
Required Trees for General Landscaping	69 trees – (one tree per 500 sqft of impervious surface)
Requested Trees for General Landscaping	25 trees.



REASON FOR ZBA APPLICATION

MacKite intends to construct a building addition in the near future for additional warehousing space. In preliminary discussions with staff, the applicant, Sig Rudholm, inquired about a reduction in the required general landscaping, specifically the minimum tree count.

Section 4.02.A.2 requires that one tree is provided per 500sqft of pervious surface. Based on the amount of pervious surface on the preliminary site plan, 69 trees would be required. The applicant is requesting a dimensional variance to instead provide 25 trees. These 25 trees would be comprised of 19 existing trees and 6 trees provided as a dumpster enclosure. No additional trees are proposed.


The applicant is requesting the landscaping reduction because they intend to construct further expansions in the future. When staff inquired about the timeline, the applicant was not able to provide an anticipated timeframe for future construction.

In the provided narrative the applicant claims the required trees will impede Fire/Rescue access to adjacent properties. Fire/Rescue staff were consulted regarding the matter and indicated there were no concerns related to the required landscaping.


ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

MacKite previously received site plan approval for a new building in 2022. This submittal, which has since expired because construction did not commence, provided 77 total trees on site. The site plan included in the meeting packet provides all the required trees near the powerline easement, despite alternate locations available.

A comparison of the 2022 site plan and the preliminary 2025 plan are provided below. Of the required 69 trees, 68 trees would be provided by planting the trees outlined in red. It is staff's opinion that there is sufficient area to provide the required trees.



2022 APPROVED SITE PLAN



2025 PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN

Because compliant options are available, staff do not believe the standards to approve the variance are fulfilled.

VARIANCE STANDARDS

To authorize a dimensional variance from the strict applications of the provisions of this Ordinance, the ZBA shall apply the following standards and make an affirmative finding as to each of the matters set forth in the standards.

STANDARD 1

There are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in the same zoning classification.

The property is a typical lot which complies with the minimum lot dimensions and area for the Industrial Zoning District.

The ZBA will need to determine whether this standard is met.

STANDARD 2

The variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right similar to that possessed by other properties in the same zoning district and in the vicinity, provided that possible increased financial return shall not of itself, be deemed sufficient to warrant a variance.

The 2022 site plan provided the required landscaping. ZBA Members may recall Attorney Redick's explanation that Section 4.02.A.2 is proportional, based on the amount of pervious surface. Any new development must comply with Section 4.02.A.2.

The financial return from this request is not sufficient to warrant a variance.

The ZBA will need to make a determination whether this standard is met given the circumstances of this case.

STANDARD 3

Authorization of such variance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the intent and purpose of this Ordinance or the public health, safety, and general welfare of the community.

No correspondence has been received for this application as of 1/23/25.

The applicant claims that planting the required landscaping would threaten Fire/Rescue access to the site. Fire/Rescue staff have indicated no such concern exists. A previous landscape plan was approved by all Township staff.

It does not appear there would be a detrimental impact on adjacent properties created by the absence of the required trees.

The ZBA will need to determine whether this standard is met.

STANDARD 4

The condition or situation of the specific piece of property or the intended use of said property for which the variance is sought, is not of so general or recurrent a nature as to make reasonably practical the formulation of a general regulation for such condition or situation, a part of this Ordinance.

The property is a typical lot. It does not appear there are circumstances unique to the property restricting its ability to comply with Section 4.02.A.2.

The ZBA will need to make the determination whether this standard is met.

SAMPLE MOTIONS

If the ZBA determines each standard has been affirmatively met, one of the following motions can be offered:

Motion to approve a dimensional variance from Section 4.02.A.2 to provide 25 trees on site as general landscaping for the property located at 16881 Hayes Street.

Approval of this variance is based upon this Board's findings that all four standards have been affirmatively met.

However, if the ZBA determines each standard has not been affirmatively met, the following motion can be offered:

Motion to deny a dimensional variance from Section 4.02.A.2 to provide 25 trees on site as general landscaping for the property at 16881 Hayes Street because an alternative exists that does not violate the Grand Haven Charter Township Zoning Ordinance.

Denial of this variance is based upon this Board's findings that all four standards have not been affirmatively met.

If the ZBA determines that more information is needed to make an affirmative finding, the following motion can be offered:

Motion to table the dimensional variance application for 16881 Hayes Street, and direct the applicant and/or staff to provide the following information:

1. *List items.*

ZBA 16881 HAYES STREET Section 4.02.A.2

1
2
3
4 **DATE:** Fri Dec 30, 2024
5

6 **To:** The Grand Haven Township Zoning Board of Appeals
7

8 **FROM:** Sig Rudholm
9

10 **RE:** Appeal Regarding Tree Removal and Compliance with Section 4.02.A.2
11

12 Dear Members of the Zoning Board of Appeals,
13

14 We humbly submit this appeal for your consideration regarding our project at **16881 Hayes**
15 **Street, parcel no. 70-03-33-400-024**, which a site plan was approved by the Planning
16 Commission within the past 12 months.
17

18 After obtaining initial project approval, we proceeded with the bidding process. However,
19 unforeseen additional costs arose, making the project financially unfeasible. As a result, our
20 client, MacKite, revisited the design to perform value engineering, seeking to balance project
21 feasibility while maintaining compliance and alignment with community goals.
22

23 **TREE REMOVAL REQUEST**

24 One key opportunity for cost savings identified during this process involves the removal of trees
25 at the rear of the project site. During the Planning Commission meeting, several considerations
26 were raised regarding these trees:
27

28 **1. Access Conflicts:**

- 29 • The trees impede the fire department's ability to access neighboring properties,
30 posing a safety concern.
- 31 • They also obstruct access for the power company, requiring ongoing trimming
32 that diminishes their value and purpose.
33

34 **2. Visibility and Aesthetic Impact:**

- 35 • The trees are located at the rear of the building, an area not visible to the public
36 or contributing to the site's aesthetic appeal.
37

38 **3. Future Expansion Plans:**

DIVISION 1

1249 E. Laketon
Muskegon, MI 49442
Ph. 616.607.6812
s@division1.us

- 39 • The client intends to expand on this site in the future, at which point the trees
40 would inevitably need removal. Green space would again be affected, and the
41 trees would not be required under zoning ordinances at that time.
42

43 Removing these trees now would represent a \$25,000 savings to our client, enabling the project
44 to proceed in a more financially sustainable manner while addressing the concerns raised by
45 the Planning Commission.
46

47 **CONTEXTUAL CONSIDERATIONS: Non-Compliance with Section 4.02.A.2**

48 Additionally, we note that this request aligns with existing conditions along Hayes Street and
49 168th Avenue, where numerous industrial properties are non-compliant with Section 4.02.A.2 of
50 the ordinance:
51

52 • **North Side of Hayes Street:**

- 53 • West of 16881 Hayes Street: The first five properties are non-compliant.
54 • East of 16881 Hayes Street: The first property is non-compliant, as is 16859
55 Hayes Street (located in the City of Grand Haven).
56 • The northeast corner of Hayes Street and 168th Avenue (2125 168th Avenue) is
57 non-compliant.
58

59 • **South Side of Hayes Street and Industrial Properties Along 168th Avenue:**

- 60 • All industrial properties south of Hayes Street and along 168th Avenue are
61 similarly non-compliant with Section 4.02.A.2.
62

63 The proposed adjustment would align this project with the established development patterns
64 along Hayes Street and 168th Avenue, where similar industrial properties have made
65 comparable modifications to balance practicality and ordinance intent.
66

67 **CONCLUSION**

68 We respectfully ask the Zoning Board of Appeals to grant this request to remove the trees at the
69 rear of the site. Doing so will alleviate access conflicts, reduce unnecessary costs, and align
70 with future development plans while considering the broader precedent of existing conditions.
71 This \$25,000 savings is vital to offset the unexpected costs that arose during the bidding
72 process, ensuring the project remains viable without compromising on safety or long-term
73 plans. The fire department's ability to access neighboring properties without obstruction is
74 particularly crucial for ensuring public safety in the event of an emergency.

75 We have added EXHIBIT "A," which depicts the properties non-conforming with Section 4.02.A.2.
76 We have also provided a site plan to scale.
77

DIVISION 1

1249 E. Laketon
Muskegon, MI 49442
Ph. 616.607.6812
s@division1.us

78 Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of this appeal. We are committed to collaborating
79 with the City Planner and the ZBA to ensure this project proceeds in a manner that benefits the
80 community and aligns with established ordinances.

81

82 Warmest Regards,

83

84 Sig Rudholm

85


86 Project Manager

87 DIVISION 1 LLC

88 Ph (616) 607-6812

89

Where relationships count!



A REFUSE CONTAINER SCREENING DETAIL
1/8" = 1'-0"

LANDSCAPE ORDINANCE SUMMARY:

GENERAL LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS PER SECTION 4.02.A(2):

(1) TREE PER 500 SF OF NON PAVED SURFACES

NON PAVED SURFACES = 34,420 SF +/- (EXCLUDES RETENTION POND ZONES 2 & 3)
TOTAL AREA OF NON PAVED SURFACES = 34,420 SF CALCULATED

34,420 / 500 = 69 TREES REQUIRED

EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN = 18 SHOWN
REFUSE CONTAINER SCREENING (6 ABSORPTIVES @ 3'-0" O.C.) = 9 SHOWN

ADDITIONAL NEW TREES REQUIRED = 44

ZONING ORDINANCE SUMMARY:

ZONING DISTRICT: (I-1) Industrial District

SETBACKS REQUIRED: FRONT = 75', REAR = 25', SIDES = 20' (EACH SIDE)

LOT DIMENSIONS REQUIREMENTS:

MINIMUM LOT AREA = 1 ACRE (EXISTING SITE = 2.54 ACRES)
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH = 110' (EXISTING WIDTH = 165.02')

MAXIMUM STRUCTURE HEIGHT: 35' (2.5 STORIES)

MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE (IMPERVIOUS SURFACE %): 70%

IMPERVIOUS SURFACE AREA CALCULATIONS:

EXISTING AREAS (THAT REMAIN):
PARKING LOT & DRIVE = 29,750 SF
BUILDING FOOTPRINT = 12,880 SF

PROPOSED ADDITION & DRIVE AREAS:
ASPHALT DRIVE = 8,300 SF
CONC. WALKS & APPROACH = 1,300 SF
BUILDING FOOTPRINT = 12,000 SF

TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA:
EXISTING: (29,750 + 12,880) = 42,630 SF
NEW: (8,300 + 1,300 + 12,000) = 21,600 SF

OVERALL IMPERVIOUS AREA SHOWN = 64,230 SF
EXISTING SITE AREA = 110,642.4 SF
(MAX ALLOWABLE = 77,449.68 SF)

BUILDING CODE SUMMARY:

APPLICABLE CODES:

- MICHIGAN BUILDING CODE, 2015
- MICHIGAN MECHANICAL CODE, 2015
- MICHIGAN PLUMBING CODE, 2015
- NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE, 2015
- AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

OCCUPANCIES (EXISTING - NO CHANGE):

USE GROUP: F-1 (WAREHOUSE), B (BUSINESS), M (MERCANTILE)

CONSTRUCTION TYPE, 2B (MBC TABLE 506.2)


- NOT SPRINKLED

EMERGENCY LIGHTING:


MINIMUM 1 FOOTCANDLE (AVERAGE) ALONG PATH OF EGRESS TRAVEL AT FLOOR LEVEL. INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED IN ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS

EXIT SIGNS:

- REQUIRED FOR EXITS AND EXIT ACCESS MEANS. INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED IN ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS
- SIGNS TO BE CONTINUOUSLY ILLUMINATED DURING OCCUPANCY, AND ALSO TIED INTO BATTERY BACK-UP



CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN
1" = 30'-0"



EXISTING / DEMOLITION SITE PLAN
1" = 30'-0"

S & S ENTERPRISES
#70-03-33-400-033

S & S ENTERPRISES
#70-03-33-400-033

PROPOSED ADDITION FOR:
MACKITE BOARD SPORTS CENTER
16881 HAYES ST.
GRAND HAVEN, MI 49417

dryer architectural group
architecture / interiors / design consultants
220 1/2 WASHINGTON
GRAND HAVEN, MICHIGAN, 49417
616.845.5400
dryerarch@gmail.com

DIVISION 1
1249 S. Laketon Ave.
Muskegon, MI 49542
PH: 616.845.5339
info@division1.com
Construction | Consulting

Drawing Information:
Drawn: SMS | Checked: SMS
Date: 12.30.2024 | Project #: 24-832
Issuances / Revs:

SP.1

FOR ZBA_12.30.2024

00-067

EXHIBIT "A"

Area where tress would be planted
 NOTE:
 1.) power company would continually be trimming them back
 2.) hindrance to fire department





GRAND HAVEN CHARTER TOWNSHIP

Community Development Memo

DATE: January 23, 2025
TO: Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Cassandra Chaphalkar, Senior Planner
RE: 2025 Housekeeping Duties

APPOINTMENT OF OFFICERS

As required by the ZBA Bylaws the officers must be appointed annually. The current appointments are:

- Chairperson Voss
- Vice Chairperson Slater
- Secretary VACANT

The following motion can be offered:

Motion to nominate _____ for the position of _____.

2025 MEETING DATE SCHEDULE

Additionally, the 2025 meeting date schedule must be approved.

The typical schedule holds regular meetings on the fourth Tuesday of each month. The meeting in December is on the second Tuesday to accommodate the holiday schedule.

Motion to approve the 2025 Meeting Date Schedule.



ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 2025 MEETING DATES

Tuesday, January 28, 2025
Tuesday, February 25, 2025
Tuesday, March 25, 2025
Tuesday, April 22, 2025
Wednesday, May 28, 2025
Tuesday, June 24, 2025

Tuesday, July 22, 2025
Tuesday, August 26, 2025
Tuesday, September 23, 2025
Tuesday, October 28, 2025
Tuesday, November 25, 2025
Tuesday, December 9, 2025

All meetings will be held at the Township Hall, 13300 168th Avenue, Grand Haven and will begin at 7:00 p.m. The agenda and associated documents can be found online at www.ghmti.gov.

The Charter Township of Grand Haven will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as signers for the hearing impaired and audiotapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting, to individuals with disabilities at the meeting/hearing upon seven (7) business days' notice to the Charter Township of Grand Haven. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the Charter Township of Grand Haven by writing or calling the following:

HR Director
13300 168th Avenue
Grand Haven, MI 49417
(616) 842-5988